

MAGNUM P.I.

BY

ALLAN BERELE

Department of Mathematics (C-012), University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

ABSTRACT

In an earlier paper Berele and Regev associated to each p.i. algebra A a sequence of algebras $U^{k,l}(A)$ which proved useful in studying the identities of A . We now describe $U^{k,l}(A)$ as a universal object and describe how to recover A from the $U^{k,l}(A)$.

Throughout this paper F will be a field of characteristic zero and all algebras will be algebras over F .

In studying the cocharacters of p.i. algebras in [3] Berele and Regev introduced a construction $U^{k,l}$ which generalized the construction of universal p.i. algebras. If A is a p.i. algebra and $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, we remind the reader of the construction of $U^{k,l}(A)$ which we now name the magnums of A . First, let $V = T \oplus U$ be a vector space with $\dim T = k$ and $\dim U = l$. The free algebra $F\langle x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_l \rangle$ is identified in a natural way with the tensor algebra of V , which is graded as $\Sigma \oplus W_n$, $W_n = (T \oplus U)^{\otimes n}$. As in [4], W_n is a module for FS_n under the $*$ -action. FS_n is identified with the space of multilinear, homogeneous polynomials of degree n in x_1, \dots, x_n in the usual way, and so defines $I_n(A)$ as the identities of A in FS_n . The subspace $\Sigma \oplus W_n * I_n(A)$ turns out to be an ideal in $F\langle x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_l \rangle$ and $U^{k,l}(A)$ is, by definition, the quotient algebra.

This construction is somewhat indirect, and in this paper we describe $U^{k,l}(A)$ more directly as a certain universal object of A (Theorem 4). We also describe (Theorem 7) how to recover the identities of A from its magnum: we cannot hope to recover A , since the construction of $U^{k,l}$ depends only on the identities of A . As a corollary to Theorem 5, we prove a theorem, also due to Kemer, that for an arbitrary p.i. algebra A , A satisfies all identities of $\mathcal{M}_m(E)$, for large m .

Received November 15, 1983

§1. Graded identities

DEFINITIONS. Let $F\langle X, Y \rangle$ be the free $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ -graded algebra generated by the set $X \cup Y$, in which elements of X have degree 0 and elements of Y have degree 1. If $A = A_0 \oplus A_1$ is any $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ -graded algebra and $f(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_l) \in F\langle X, Y \rangle$, we say that f is a *graded identity* for A if f vanishes under every degree zero homomorphism $F\langle X, Y \rangle \rightarrow A$, i.e., if $f(a_1, \dots, a_k, b_1, \dots, b_l) = 0$ for all $a_1, \dots, a_k \in A_0, b_1, \dots, b_l \in A_1$. For a fixed A , the set Q of graded identities for A in $F\langle X, Y \rangle$ is a *graded T -ideal*, in the sense that Q is invariant under all degree zero homomorphisms $F\langle X, Y \rangle \rightarrow F\langle X, Y \rangle$. Note that $\{f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in Q \mid x_i \in X, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ is precisely the set of (ungraded) polynomial identities for A_0 .

EXAMPLE. Let E be the infinite dimensional Grassman algebra generated by e_1, e_2, \dots . E has a $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ -grading, $E = E_0 \oplus E_1$, gotten by setting $e_i \in E_1$ for all i . Then E satisfies the graded identities $[x_i, x_j] = [x_i, y_j] = y_i y_j + y_j y_i = 0$.

For the remainder of this paper A will be an ungraded p.i. algebra; $A \otimes E$ will be given the $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ -grading induced from E , $(A \otimes E)_i = A \otimes E_i, i = 0, 1$; and we will be concerned with the graded identities for $A \otimes E$. Let $W = F\langle x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_l \rangle$ be a free $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ -graded algebra as above. W is \mathbf{N} -graded by total degree $W = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} W_n$. Each graded component W_n can be identified with $V^{\otimes n}$ as in [4], and so $\text{pl}(k, l)$ acts on W_n as "superderivations."

LEMMA 1. Let $Q =$ the graded identities of $A \otimes E$. Then $Q \cap W_n$ is a $\text{pl}(k, l)$ submodule of W_n , i.e., if $M \in \text{pl}(k, l)$ then $\bar{M}(Q) \subseteq Q$.

PROOF. By linearity it is enough to show that $Q \cap W_n$ is closed under the action of each elementary matrix E_{ij} in $\text{pl}(k, l)$. So, first let M be a degree 0 matrix. For the sake of concreteness, say M is given by $M(x_1) = x_i$, and let $f(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_l) \in Q \cap W_n$. For every $\alpha \in F$,

$$f(x_1 + \alpha x_i, x_2, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_l) \in Q \cap W_n.$$

By a van der Monde argument the α coefficient of this polynomial will itself be a graded identity, and it equals $\bar{M}(f)$. Next let M be a degree 1 elementary matrix, and for concreteness take $M(x_1) = y_i$. Now let $0 \neq \alpha \in E_1, \alpha^2 = 0$, and consider

$$\begin{aligned} g &= f(x_1 + \alpha y_i, x_2, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_l) \\ &= f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_l) + \alpha f_1(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_l). \end{aligned}$$

This f_1 vanishes under every graded substitution from $A \otimes E$, hence $f_1 \in Q$. But,

since α commutes with each x_i and anticommutes with each y_i , $f_1 = \tilde{M}(f)$, and the lemma follows.

We now need to generalize 2.2–2.4 of [2] and describe how to linearize graded identities. As in [4] W_n is a bimodule for $\text{pl}(k, l)$ and FS_n with the $*$ -action, and we denote by B or $B(k, l; n)$ the algebra of endomorphisms of W_n generated by $\text{pl}(k, l)$. If $\omega \in W_n$ is any monomial in $\{x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_l\}$, let

$$\begin{aligned} R^+ &= \{\sigma \in S_n \mid \omega * \sigma = \omega\}, \\ R^- &= \{\sigma \in S_n \mid \omega * \sigma = -\omega\}, \\ R &= R^+ \cup R^- \quad \text{and} \\ s = s(\omega) &= \sum_{\sigma \in R^+} \sigma - \sum_{\sigma \in R^-} \sigma \in \text{FS}_n. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2. *If $\omega = z_1 \cdots z_n \in W_n$ is a monomial and $s(\omega)$, B are as above, then $B\omega = W * s$.*

PROOF. Clearly, $\omega * s = |R| \cdot \omega$, hence

$$B\omega = B\omega * s \subseteq W * s.$$

For the reverse inclusion, since W_n is completely reducible as a B module we decompose W_n over B as

$$W_n = (W_n * s) \oplus W^{(1)} = (B\omega) \oplus W^{(2)} \oplus W^{(1)}$$

Let $\pi : W_n \rightarrow W^{(2)}$ be the projection map. We need to show that $\pi = 0$. Since π is a B -map, the double centralizer theorem (4.15 in [4]) implies that there is an $a \in \text{FS}_n$ such that $\pi(v) = v * a$ for all $v \in W_n$. Write $a = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \alpha_\sigma \sigma$, let T be a transversal for R in S_n and let $J = \{i \mid z_i \text{ has degree } 1\}$, so that $\omega * \sigma = f_j(\sigma)\omega\sigma$ (permutation action) for all $\sigma \in S_n$. Now calculate

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \pi(\omega) &= \omega * a = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \alpha_\sigma \omega * \sigma \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in T} \sum_{\rho \in R} \alpha_{\rho\tau} (\omega * \rho) * \tau \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in T} \sum_{\rho \in R} \alpha_{\rho\tau} f_J(\rho) \omega * \tau. \end{aligned}$$

Since the $\{\omega * \tau\}$ are linearly independent,

$$\sum_{\rho \in R} \alpha_{\rho\tau} f_J(\rho) = 0 \quad \text{for each } \tau \in T.$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(v * s) &= v * sa \\ &= v * \sum_{\tau \in I} \sum_{\rho \in R} \alpha_{\rho\tau} s \rho \tau \\ &= v * \sum_{\tau \in I} \left(\sum_{\rho \in R} \alpha_{\rho\tau} f_I(\rho) \right) s \tau = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\pi = 0$, proving the lemma.

NOTATION. We make the usual identification $FS_n \equiv V_n =$ the space of (degree zero) multilinear, homogeneous degree n polynomials in x_1, \dots, x_n .

COROLLARY 3. *Let $\omega \in W_n$ be a monomial in $\{x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_l\}$, $a \in V_n \equiv FS_n$ and $s = s(\omega)$ as above. Then $\omega * a$ is a graded identity for $A \otimes E$ if and only if sa is a polynomial identity for A .*

PROOF. First, assume that $\omega * a \in Q$, the graded identities for $A \otimes E$. Lemma 2 implies that for some $b \in B(k, l; n)$ (increasing k if necessary) $b\omega = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n * s$, hence, by Lemma 1, $x_1 \cdots x_n * sa \in Q$. But $x_1 \cdots x_n \in V_n$ and, in the identification of V_n with FS_n , $x_1 \cdots x_n$ corresponds to the identity, hence $sa \in Q \cap V_n$.

To prove the reverse inclusion, note that Lemma 2 implies that $B(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n) = W$ (again, increasing k if necessary), hence $b(x_1 \cdots x_n) = \omega$ for some $b \in B$. Now if $sa \in Q \cap V_n$, then as above $(x_1 \cdots x_n) * sa \in Q$ so

$$\begin{aligned} \omega * a &= \frac{1}{|R|} \omega * sa \\ &= \frac{1}{|R|} b(x_1 \cdots x_n) * sa \in Q. \end{aligned}$$

We now prove the main result of this section.

THEOREM 4. *For any algebra A and $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, the magnum $U^{k,l}(A) = F\langle x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_l \rangle$ modulo the graded identities for $A \otimes E$.*

PROOF. Let $Q =$ graded identities of A and $I_n = Q \cap V_n$. Let $a \in I_n$, $\omega \in W_n$ a monomial and $s = s(\omega)$ as above. Then $sa \in I_n$ since I_n is a right ideal of FS_n and so $\omega * a \in Q$ by Lemma 3.

Conversely, let $v \in W$ be a graded identity for $A \otimes E$. By a van der Monde argument, we may assume that $v = \omega * a$ for ω a monomial, i.e., we may assume that v is homogeneous. Again taking $s = s(\omega)$, $\omega * s = |R| \omega$ and so

$$\omega * a = \frac{1}{|R|} \omega * sa.$$

Finally, by Lemma 3, $sa \in I_n$ and therefore $\omega * a \in W_n * I_n$. So $\Sigma \oplus W_n * I_n = Q \cap W$ and the theorem follows.

§2. Magnum

Theorem 4 describes the magnum of A in terms of A . In this section we construct from the magnum of A an algebra which satisfies the same identities as A . We need some preliminaries.

DEFINITION. $H(k, l; n)$ denotes the set of partitions

$$\{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots) \in \text{Par}(n) \mid \lambda_{k+1}, \lambda_{k+2}, \dots \leq l\}.$$

The group ring FS_n decomposes into a direct sum of two sided ideals indexed by $\text{Par}(n)$,

$$\text{FS}_n = \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Par}(n)} \oplus I_\lambda.$$

and we break this up as

$$\text{FS}_n = \left(\sum_{\lambda \in H(k,l;n)} \oplus I_\lambda \right) \oplus \left(\sum_{\lambda \notin H(k,l;n)} \oplus I_\lambda \right) =_{\text{DEF}} C(k, l; n) \oplus D(k, l; n).$$

We will need

THEOREM 5 (Amitsur–Regev [1]). *Let A be any p.i. algebra. Then there exists $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ depending on A , such that for all n and all $a \in D(k, l; n)$, a is an identity for A .*

Lemma 6 is analogous to the proof of theorem 14 in [3].

LEMMA 6. *Let $\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \alpha_\sigma x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)}$ be an identity for A , $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ monomials in $F\langle x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_l \rangle$ and $J = \{i \mid \omega_i \text{ has } (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \text{ degree } 1\}$. Then $\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \alpha_\sigma f_J(\sigma) \omega_{\sigma(1)} \cdots \omega_{\sigma(n)}$ is a graded identity for $A \otimes E$.*

PROOF. Let $d = \text{degree (in } \mathbb{N})$ of the product $\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n$, and factor $x_1 \cdots x_d$ as $v_1 \cdots v_n$ so that $\text{deg } v_i = \text{deg } \omega_i, i = 1, \dots, n$. Then $\sum \alpha_\sigma v_{\sigma(1)} \cdots v_{\sigma(n)}$ is an identity for A and it is easy to see that

$$\sum \alpha_\sigma f_J(\sigma) \omega_{\sigma(1)} \cdots \omega_{\sigma(n)} = (\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n) * \sum \alpha_\sigma v_{\sigma(1)} \cdots v_{\sigma(n)} \in W_d * I_d(A).$$

By Theorem 4 this is a graded identity for $A \otimes E$.

The $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ -grading of W induces a $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ -grading on the magnum $U^{k,l}(A)$. Hence $U^{k,l}(A) \otimes E$ may be regarded either as a $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ -graded algebra or as a $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ -graded algebra.

THEOREM 7. (cf. [7] prop. 2) *Let A be any p.i. algebra and let k, l be as in Theorem 5. Then A satisfies the same set of identities as*

$$(U^{k,l}(A) \otimes E)_0 = (U^{k,l}(A)_0 \otimes E_0) \otimes (U^{k,l}(A)_1 \otimes E_1).$$

PROOF. Denote $U = (U^{k,l}(A) \otimes E)_0$, $I(A)$ = the identities of A and $I(U)$ = the identities of U . To prove the theorem it is enough to prove that $I(U) \cap V_n = I(A) \cap V_n$ for all n .

First, let $g = \sum \alpha_\sigma x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)} \in I(A)$. By linearity of g , we need to prove that g vanishes under substitutions of the form $x_i \rightarrow \omega_i \otimes \varepsilon_i \in U$, $\omega_i \in U^{k,l}(A)$ is a monomial, $\varepsilon_i \in E$. Fix such a substitution and let

$$J = \{i \mid \omega_i \otimes \varepsilon_i \in U^{k,l}(A)_1 \otimes E_1\}.$$

Now calculate

$$\begin{aligned} g(\omega_1 \otimes \varepsilon_1, \dots, \omega_n \otimes \varepsilon_n) &= \sum \alpha_\sigma \omega_{\sigma(1)} \cdots \omega_{\sigma(n)} \otimes \varepsilon_{\sigma(1)} \cdots \varepsilon_{\sigma(n)} \\ &= \sum (\alpha_\sigma f_J(\sigma) \omega_{\sigma(1)} \cdots \omega_{\sigma(n)}) \otimes \varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_n \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 6.

Conversely, let $g \in I(U) \cap V_n = I_n(U)$. Decompose V_n over FS_n as $V_n = I_n(A) \oplus K_n$ and assume by way of contradiction that $g \in K_n$. By [3], $W_n = W_n * I_n(A) \oplus W_n * K_n$. Moreover, by Theorem 5, $g \in C(k, l; n)$ and so by the Hook Theorem (3.18, [4]) $\omega * g \neq 0$ for some monomial $\omega \in W_n$. In particular $\omega * g \notin W_n * I_n(A)$.

On the other hand, for this particular $\omega = z_1 \cdots z_n$ choose $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \in E$ such that $\varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_n \neq 0$ and each $\deg \varepsilon_i = \deg z_i$ in the $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ -grading. Then each $z_i \otimes \varepsilon_i \in U$ and so $g(z_1 \otimes \varepsilon_1, \dots, z_n \otimes \varepsilon_n) = 0$. Write g as $\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \alpha_\sigma x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)}$. If $J = \{i \mid \deg \varepsilon_i = 1\}$, then, as before,

$$\left(\sum \alpha_\sigma f_J(\sigma) z_{\sigma(1)} \cdots z_{\sigma(n)} \right) \otimes \varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_n = 0$$

and so $\sum \alpha_\sigma f_J(\sigma) z_{\sigma(1)} \cdots z_{\sigma(n)} = 0$ in $U^{k,l}(A)$. But this latter equals $\omega * g$, giving the contradiction $\omega * g \in W_n * I_n(A)$.

REMARK. $U^{k,l}(A)$ is a finitely generated algebra, and so Theorem 7 relates the identities of arbitrary p.i. algebras to identities of finitely generated algebras. As one corollary we get the following theorem of Kemer [5].

COROLLARY 8. *If A is any p.i. algebra, then for some $m > 0$, $I(A) \supseteq I(\mathcal{M}_m(E))$.*

PROOF. By Theorem 7,

$$I(A) = I(U) \supseteq I(U^{k,l}(A) \otimes E).$$

$U^{k,l}(A)$ is a finitely generated algebra, hence for large m , $I(U^{k,l}(A)) \supseteq I(\mathcal{M}_m(F))$ ([6]) and so $I(A) \supseteq I(\mathcal{M}_m(F) \otimes E) = I(\mathcal{M}_m(E))$.

REFERENCES

1. S. A. Amitsur and A. Regev, *P.I. algebras and their cocharacters*, J. Algebra **78** (1982), 248–254.
2. A. Berele, *Homogeneous polynomial identities*, Isr. J. Math. **42** (1982), 258–273.
3. A. Berele and A. Regev, *Applications of Hook Young diagrams to P.I. algebras*, J. Algebra **83** (1983), 559–567.
4. A. Berele and A. Regev, *Hook Young diagrams with applications to combinatorics and to representations of Lie superalgebras*, Adv. Math., to appear.
5. A. R. Kemer, *Decomposition of varieties*, Alg. i Logika **20** (1980), 384–484.
6. A. R. Kemer, *Capelli identities and nilpotency of the radical of finitely generated P.I.-algebra*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSR **255** (1980), 793–797.
7. A. R. Kemer, *Nonmatrix varieties*, Alg. i Logika **19** (1980), 255–283.